

# REAL ESTATE BOARD URGES SUPPORT OF WATER DISTRICT

Endorsement of formation of the San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District at the recent meeting of the real estate board of the San Bernardino F.H.A. office and support of the proposed city ordinance regarding installation of television antennas was voted by the San Bernardino Real Estate Board.

Richard L. Esling, president, announced the general membership overwhelmingly passed a formal resolution expressing its belief in the need for additional water supply.

He forwarded a letter to Commissioner Harry E. Sheppard regarding the ordinance establishing the F.H.A. office, as stated in a resolution passed by the board.

"It is becoming increasingly difficult to find homes in the San Bernardino-Riverside area in new neighborhoods," Esling stated.

"The continued growth of this area will require more than 100 million gallons of water a day in the metropolitan area 60 miles in size in the nation."

He points out the San Bernardino F.H.A. staff has been reduced from 21 to 15 and an order issued against any replacements, leaving the office without an architect or architectural services and with a shortened inspection staff.

**INCREASE INDICATED**  
"The relaxing of financing for individual F.H.A.-insured loans indicates a considerable increase in volume of refinancing money on our existing structures," Esling said.

In a letter to Ralph P. Spencer, chief city building inspector, Esling announced the board approved the proposed building department changes and asks its adoption as a "fairly measure and protection for the citizens of San Bernardino."

The ordinance, which received its first reading before the City Council Monday night, sets a 12 installation period for and requires four anchoring wires at every 10-foot height on the antennae, which must be made of non-steel standard gauge steel pipe or double standard aluminum pipe.

"We need to get at this sewer survey and need to get it soon," said Covington. "It is not something that can be done overnight."

The small airports problem, introduced in a letter from Supervisor James W. Gerrard to Commission Chairman Harvey Head, asked for advice on whether the county should consider airfield small airports. They are widespread from the California coast in spite of the "air-mindedness" of the present age, he said.

Col. C. E. Peterson of Ontario was requested to prepare the County Board of Trade concerning an "internal haul" and the improvement on the problem, which the state involves land taxes, gas tax distribution and zoning.

# Board Reiterates Election Support

(Continued from City Page)

the county's "hopeful faith" with Riverside County, which earlier has been voted in its municipal district following a prolonged bid county water needs study.

Other advice from Paul G. Brown, executive officer of the state's State Area Regional Water Pollution Control Board, it was agreed immediate action should be a study of industrial water recycling and establishment of a Class 1 dump which can be used for contaminants until an outlet sewer to the ocean becomes feasible.

"Through this is admittedly far into the future, planning director Robert A. Covington reported on a letter to Industrial Director Joe C. Venable on Wednesday.

"We need to get at this sewer survey and need to get it soon," said Covington. "It is not something that can be done overnight."

The small airports problem, introduced in a letter from Supervisor James W. Gerrard to Commission Chairman Harvey Head, asked for advice on whether the county should consider airfield small airports. They are widespread from the California coast in spite of the "air-mindedness" of the present age, he said.

Col. C. E. Peterson of Ontario was requested to prepare the County Board of Trade concerning an "internal haul" and the improvement on the problem, which the state involves land taxes, gas tax distribution and zoning.

# Freeway Route Named By Board

(Continued from City Page)

It also said the crossing is "potentially bad" and the improvement on the problem, which the state involves land taxes, gas tax distribution and zoning.

Esling said the crossing is "potentially bad" and the improvement on the problem, which the state involves land taxes, gas tax distribution and zoning.

Esling said the crossing is "potentially bad" and the improvement on the problem, which the state involves land taxes, gas tax distribution and zoning.

Esling said the crossing is "potentially bad" and the improvement on the problem, which the state involves land taxes, gas tax distribution and zoning.

Esling said the crossing is "potentially bad" and the improvement on the problem, which the state involves land taxes, gas tax distribution and zoning.

# S.B. Resident Dies When Hit By Automobile

(Continued from City Page)  
A service station marquee supported on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight. Mrs. Hines suffered multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

The major cause for the crash was the marquee support on U.S. Highway 91 about 1 1/2 miles south of the Freeway and two passengers, Mrs. Elizabeth Hines, 25, and John T. Robinson, both of Los Angeles, were injured seriously in the crash. They were taken to Kaiser Hospital at Fontana and multiple fractures and abrasions, and Robinson was hospitalized overnight.

# COUNCIL REFUSES TO ASSURE VOTERS OF ELECTRICITY OF SCHOOLS

(Continued from City Page)  
"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

"I don't mean you didn't give consideration," Wilson replied. "I mean you didn't give consideration to the fact that we have a lot of children in the city who are going to school and we have to have electricity for them."

# ARSON, ASSULT SUSPECT TO FACE RETRIAL NEXT MONTH

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Superior Court proceedings pleaded guilty on Aug. 28, 1951, against arson and assault suspect and William Arto Butler — now blind. He is scheduled in February for re-trial through a series of steps, involving five different lawyers.

Through handy glass jars you see top quality fruits, vegetables, meats. Expertly prepared to bring their delicious flavor, conserve natural colors and precious food values.

You see the fine, uniform consistency of the Beech-Nut Foods your baby will eat.

No waste. You can safely leave unused Beech-Nut Baby Foods in their reseal glass jars. Store them in the refrigerator until the next feeding.

Beech-Nut Baby and freshmeats are protected so that every morsel is happy for you and your baby.

All Beech-Nut Baby Foods are accepted by the United States Food and Drug Administration.

**BEECH-NUT FOODS FOR BABIES**

Produced in San Jose, California.

Be variety of your grocer's

**HOW YOU CAN SAVE**

**ABC-O-MATIC WASH AND DRYERS**

Automatic 6 Wringer Type

**\$100 PER WEEK**

Also Other National Franchise Brands Sold Payment Plans Available

Purchase If Desired

**ALSO RANGES AND REFRIGERATORS**

PHONE 6-1771 - 9-2820

**ALBERT'S APPLIANCE**

1105 E STREET

**NEW, AMAZING "ALL-PURPOSE" HEARING POWER IS EXACTLY WHAT IT SAYS!**

**ALL-PURPOSE POWER**

If you have any hearing left at all, you can now maintain your hearing at peak efficiency under any and all conditions with our "All-Purpose" Hearing Power. You can't lose it. You can't lose it. You can't lose it. You can't lose it. You can't lose it.

You need no longer worry about temporary or progressive changes in your hearing loss. Just use our "All-Purpose" Hearing Power. You can't lose it. You can't lose it. You can't lose it. You can't lose it. You can't lose it.

**Bill Berk**

**"The Store With a Heart!"**

680 E. St., San Bernardino

**Berk's MARKET SP**